

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : [REDACTED]
FROM : [REDACTED]
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] Proposal - Image Enhancement

DATE: 21 April 1960

25X1A

I am particularly impressed by this proposal as follows:

1. The proposal shows a flexibility of thought, an approach to some depth and an excellent appreciation of the functioned characteristics of image formation.
2. The proposal suggests the initiation of work along a fixed direction but with planned parallel investigations in search of complimentary techniques or in fact other more successful approaches. This implies to myself and greater hope that the end product will be a desirable one than does an approach that designs a theoretical system and then sets out to build it and make it work.

25X1A

3. The [REDACTED] proposal does not include incorporation of "yet to be designed" optical elements. The dependency of a proposal on such unaccomplished design could prove disastrous in terms of both time and money.

25X1A

4. As indicated on the attached statistical summary [REDACTED] has a skilled and adequate manufacturing capability to assure sound design, assembly and quality control.

25X1A

25X1A

I personally suggest consideration of [REDACTED] as the preferred contractor for such a device.

25X1A

ITEM: IMAGE ENHANCEMENT DEVICE

CONTRACTORS	COST	PERS. MFG. PLANT CAPABILITY	DEL. SCHED.	PROP. EVAL.	PLANT LOC.	HUMAN FACTORS	MAINT ANALICE	SUM.
	\$ 40,000	1 2	3	1	O.C.	2	4	13/6 = 2.1
	90,000	2 X	X	3	L.A.	3	1	9/4 = 2.25
	102,000	3 4	3	3	L.A.	3	3	19/6 = 3.1
	180,000							
25X1A								
No.	ADJ. EVAL.							
4	EXCELLENT							
3	VERY GOOD							
2	GOOD							
1	FAIR							
0	UNACCEPTABLE							
X	UNKNOWN							